

Has earned the Superior Achievement rating for 2017 for



FISCAL MANAGEMENT & FINANCIAL CONDITION

from the

Texas Education Agency

Dr. Lori Blaylock, Superintendent Brian Carter, Chief Financial Officer





Or. Lori Blaylock Superintendent

Cell Clopton Assistant Superintendent AR/Operations

oe Nicks Assistant Superintendent Academics

Srian Carter *Chief Financial Officer*

Kathy Covington Administration Offices

1000 South Houston Street – Kaufman, TX 75142 972-932-2622 www.kaufmanisd.net

The Board of Trustees and Taxpayers of Kaufman ISD:

The 2017 FIRST Rating is being presented for your information. FIRST stands for "Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas." It is the annual rating performed by the Texas Education Agency to test the financial performance of school districts as required by Title 19, Texas Administrative Code, Section 109. This rating is based on school year 2015-2016 data.

The purpose of the financial accountability rating system is to ensure that school districts are held accountable for the quality of their financial management practices and achieve improved performance in the management of their financial resources. The system is designed to encourage Texas public schools to better manage their financial resources to provide the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes.

Kaufman ISD received a "Passed" status, and a "Superior" rating. This marks the 13th consecutive year that KISD has received the highest possible FIRST status and rating. Districts are assigned one of two statuses: Passed or Failed, and one of three ratings: Superior, Above Standard, or Substandard Achievement.

We feel that reaching the highest possible FIRST rating is significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with the Texas school finance system. The Schools FIRST accountability rating makes sure that Texas school districts are accountable not only for student learning, but also for achieving these results cost-effectively and efficiently. The information provided by the Schools FIRST system will guide us in our continued efforts to make the most of each taxpayer dollar.

Respectfully Submitted,

Brian Carter

Chief Financial Officer

RATING YEAR | 2016-2017

DISTRICT NUMBER district#

Select An Option

Help

Home



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2016-2017 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2015-2016 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: KAUFMAN ISD(129903)		Publication Level 1: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM		
Status: Passed Rating: A = Superior District Score: 100		Publication Level 2: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM		
		Last Updated: 8/8/2017 2:29:29 PM Passing Score: 60		
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending June 30 or August 31, respectively?		3/28/2017 11:54:59 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and mate 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails in indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.	•		
2,A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (external independent auditor determines if there we	AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The	3/28/2017 11:55:00 AM	Yes
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the weaknesses in internal controls over financial report funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)		3/28/2017 11:55:00 AM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)		3/28/2017 11:55:00 AM	Yes
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Se		3/28/2017 11:55:00 AM	Yes
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities of greater than zero? (If the school district's change of percent or more, then the school district passes this	column in the Statement of Net Position of students in membership over 5 years was 10	3/28/2017 11:55:01 AM	Yes
				1 Multiplie Sum

			T .
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:55:01 AM	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:55:01 AM	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:55:01 AM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	3/28/2017 11:55:02 AM	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	5/18/2017 12:29:16 PM	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	3/28/2017 11:55:03 AM	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	6/21/2017 8:23:51 PM	10
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	3/28/2017 11:55:03 AM	10
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	3/28/2017 11:55:04 AM	10
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	3/28/2017 11:55:04 AM	1.0
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Α.	Did the district answer 'No' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.		
В.	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)		
	A = Superior	90-100	

B = Above Standard	80-89
C = Meets Standard	60-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<60

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.texas.gov

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.4.1.0